# The School Plan for Student Achievement 

School: Emerson Elementary
CDS Code: 33-67215-6032577
District: Riverside Unified
Principal: Natanya Johnson
Revision Date: October 2018

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

| Contact Person: | Natanya Johnson |
| :--- | :--- |
| Position: | Principal |
| Phone Number: | $951-788-7462$ |
| Address: | 4660 Ottawa Ave. |
|  | Riverside, CA 92507-5823 |
| E-mail Address: | njohnson@rusd.k12.ca.us |

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on December 3, 2019.

## Table of Contents

School Vision and Mission ..... 3
School Profile ..... 3
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components ..... 3
Data Analysis ..... 3
Surveys ..... 3
Classroom Observations ..... 3
Analysis of Current Instructional Program ..... 4
Description of Barriers and Related School Goals ..... 8
Success Indicators ..... 9
School and Student Performance Data ..... 12
CAASPP Results (All Students) ..... 12
ELPAC Results ..... 16
Equity Report. ..... 19
Status and Change Report ..... 20
Student Group Report ..... 24
Planned Improvements in Student Performance ..... 25
School Goal \#1 ..... 25
School Goal \#2 ..... 27
School Goal \#3 ..... 29
Summary of Expenditures in this Plan ..... 30
Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source ..... 30
Total Expenditures by Object Type ..... 31
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source ..... 32
Total Expenditures by Goal ..... 33
LCFF (0215) Budget for 2018-2019 ..... 34
Title I (3010) Budget for 2018-2019 ..... 36
School Site Council Membership ..... 38
Recommendations and Assurances ..... 39

## School Vision and Mission

## Emerson Elementary's Vision and Mission Statements

We will cultivate a community of learners by preparing them for the demands of the future, teaching them to value the diversity of others, guiding them to discover their full potential, and providing them with the tools to achieve success.

## School Profile

Emerson Elementary School is one of thirty elementary schools in the Riverside Unified School District. It houses 750 Transitional Kindergarten through Sixth grade students in thirty-three regular education classrooms, 3 mild/moderate special day class rooms, and two moderate/sever special day classrooms. Emerson is also home to the HEARTS after school program supporting 200 students. Support staff for the after school program included one HEARTS coordinator, one assistant to the HEARTS coordinator, and 12 group leaders sponsored by the Boys and Girls Club of America.

The staff is comprised of twenty-six regular education teachers, 5 special education teachers, three Intervention Specialists, and a part-time Music/Band teacher. Additional support staff includes a 2 part-time counselors, a school psychologist, a speech pathologist, a health clerk, and a bilingual assistant who is shared with another school sites in the district.

Other support staff includes the school's librarian, the technology specialist, twelve special education instructional assistants who work with special education students in a variety of settings, one school custodian, a kitchen manager, and four food service worker. Six part-time noon supervisors supervise students during lunch periods.

Emerson is identified as a one-to-one school site meaning every student on campus has access to their own personal technology device to be used while at school. The school library is stocked with over 7,500 titles and a multi-use room equipped with a state of the art sound system. Each classroom is equipped with a Brightlink Smart Board. The Emerson community is committed to the success of all of our students.

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

## Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

## Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Results of recent surveys indicate that overall, parents indicated they felt encouraged to become involved in the school through councils or by volunteering. It was indicated that the school site provides ways to increase parent participation. The Helping Every Achiever Reach The Stars (HEARTS) program was identified by parents as one that is helping students to achieve their goals. Based on survey data, areas of opportunity were identified as follows: Funding for homework help, tutorial programs, and STEM activities; funding for parent workshops related to supporting their students with homework and improvement in the areas of reading and/or math; an elementary school sports league; and increased rigor for GATE students. Parents requested additional information related to technology, internet safety, state testing, and ensuring their student is college/career ready.

## Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

Informal classroom walk through visits occur weekly. Data gathered from these visits is used to highlight the effective instructional strategies being implemented that help support an increase in student achievement. In addition, reflective questions are posed to inform instruction. Temporary, probationary, and permanent certificated staff members are on a two-year evaluation cycle. Within
that two-year cycle, formal classroom observations are conducted. Formal observations are aimed at providing staff with positive feedback as well as reflective feedback aimed at improving instruction and/or behavioral strategies.

## Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

## Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

1. Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Grade level teams (3-6) review state and district student assessment data to monitor progress toward school wide goals in the areas of English Language Arts, Math, and English Language Development. The data is used to modify instructional plans, identify areas of strength and opportunities, and to discuss students progress toward meeting grade level standard expectations. The administrative team monitors monthly collaboration meetings as well as leads school teams in discussions related to data and instructional planning.
2. Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

School teams meet in weekly collaboration meetings to review district and site based common assessments. The data is used to monitor student progress and to discuss/review the cycle of inquiry. Additionally, the data is used to discuss/review strategies for teaching, reteaching, determining grouping structures, and providing enrichment opportunities.

## Staffing and Professional Development

3. Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)
$100 \%$ of teachers are highly qualified
4. Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

Professional development is provided in the following manner: an average of 3 days per year provided by the site for data analysis, professional development, and planning; professional development specifically related to site and District goals at monthly staff meetings; weekly team collaboration time provided by the District for data analysis, professional development, and planning; District provided professional develop with the adoption of new materials at an average of 3 days.
5. Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Student data is used to drive the professional development needs of the staff.
6. Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

The Emerson staff has bi-monthly support from District math specialist during grade level team meetings. The math specialist guide the grade level teams in planning for instruction. In addition, teachers are offered support with analyzing student data for the purposes of differentiation based on individual student need. District specialist in the area of English Language Arts meet with grade level teams at the end of every trimester to discuss/review trimester data using the cycle of inquiry as the lens. Teachers attend a variety of staff development training provided by the District each year.
7. Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [ $\mathrm{K}-8]$ ) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

During PLC collaboration meetings, release time, staff meetings, leadership team meetings and grade level team meetings, teachers analyze and dis-aggregate student data to inform instruction, design lessons, develop flexible intervention groups, identify focus students, differentiate instruction and share best practices and instructional techniques to assist all students in increasing their level of proficiency towards grade level standards. Teachers analyze student assessment data aligned to state standards to assist in maintaining a high level rigor in daily instruction.

## Teaching and Learning

8. Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Emerson staff plans collaboratively throughout the school year to ensure that the assignments and tasks students are asked to perform are aligned to grade level content standards. That planning includes research-based instructional strategies and explicit skills instruction including organizational and study skills that promote student learning. Literacy development that includes reading fluency, explicit skills instruction, and comprehension forms the core program. The principal works with grade level teams and individual teachers to support best first instruction of grade level standards and provide ongoing professional development. Teachers create, maintain, and utilize Language Arts and Math focus walls to deliver grade level standards, learning objectives, and current academic content. Categorical funds support any materials necessary to maintain the focus walls and are used to purchase supplemental materials ensuring that all students are working at their instructional level. Categorical funds are also utilized to purchase technology including laptop computers, projectors, document cameras, and Brightlink technology which allows students to reach grade level standards as they access instructional programs such as Accelerated Reader and the Eureka Math Program.
9. Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K-8) (EPC)

Teachers follow instructional pacing guides to assure that instructional time is used in an efficient manner.
10. Lesson pacing schedule ( $\mathrm{K}-8$ ) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

Based on the needs of the students as determined by the teacher, there is flexibility with pacing to ensure student mastery of concepts being taught. Lessons may be extended, modified, and/or removed based on data gathered using both formal and informal assessment tools.
11. Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

An annual textbook inventory determines if any materials are lacking and additional materials are purchased, if necessary, to ensure that all students have materials in the four core curricular areas. The RUSD Destiny Program ensures that every textbook is accounted for checked out to students. In addition to textbooks, technological devices are checked out to students using the same system. After a review of records, the administrator signs an affidavit indicating that all students have all standards based instructional materials. Details listed below include:

- Teachers keep an inventory of materials/textbooks in the four core curricular areas and provide those materials for all students.
- Administration uses current/projected numbers to ensure there are enough materials for all students.
- District adopted materials are provided to all students.
- School complies with the requirements of the Williams Settlement Act.

12. Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

State approved English Language Arts, science, social studies, and math series textbook adoptions provide materials and manipulatives to be used for the purpose of instruction and intervention. These resources are given to teach teacher and additional materials are housed on campus where teachers have access to them.
13. Describe the transition strategies that are used to assist students entering Kindergarten/7th/9th grade.

Prior to the beginning of the school year, entering Transitional Kindergartners, Kindergartners and their parents are invited to an orientation which gives an overview of what they can expect in Kindergarten. Parents are given the opportunity to ask questions of the staff and to visit classrooms. Children get the opportunity to meet their teachers, see their classrooms, and tour the campus. Local preschools are welcomed to visit Kindergarten classrooms and meet with teachers. An on-site State Preschool Program allows preschoolers with opportunities to observe and participate in pre-Kindergarten activities. Current sixth grade students have an opportunity to meet with middle school counselors the spring prior to their entry into 7th grade. Sixth grade students also have the opportunity to attend middle school spirit day where they can walk the campus, meet staff members, and participate in on campus activities.

## Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

14. Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

- Individualized Education Plan (IEP) Meetings
- H.E.A.R.T.S. Afterschool Program
- System 44/READ-180
- Student Study Team (SST) Meetings
- Technology Resources
- Response to Intervention (Rti) - offered daily and implemented by the general education teacher.
- Small group pull-out intervention provided K-6 by Title I interventionist

15. Research-based educational practices to raise student achievement

Research-based instructional strategies and explicit skills instruction, including organizational and study skills taught using AVID strategies, promote student learning. Literacy development that includes explicit skills instruction, comprehension, and reading fluency forms the core program. Each teacher maintains and utilizes Language Arts and Math focus walls which highlight grade level standards, learning objectives and current academic content. Understanding of content standards in all academic areas is strengthened by connections to real life experiences.

## Parental Involvement

16. Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

- School Site Council (SSC) meetings allow for parents to oversee the writing of the school plan, monitor activities, and make recommendations for Categorical Budgets
- English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings are conducted throughout the year and parents receive information and
training in various areas. In addition, ELAC parents are encouraged to make recommendations to SSC in regards to the school
plan, activities, and budgets.
- District DELAC meetings encourage parents to become involved in the larger community.
- Electronic announcements sent home by teachers using Class Dojo includes information about Language Arts, Math, Science, Social Studies, and English Language Development.
- Grade level weekly and/or monthly newsletters.
- A School-wide weekly announcements sent using School Messenger and calendar inform parents of various information and school activities.
- Parents participate in SST/IEP meetings and annual Parent/Teacher Conferences.
- Back-to-School Night allows parents to learn about grade level standards.
- Spring Open House gives parents the opportunity to view student learning.
- Grade level standards and additional instructional support is accessible via web based programs.
- School/home communication is facilitated through notes and phone calls.
- Parent Trainings in Language Arts and Math are provided.
- Progress Reports are sent home at the end of each trimester.

17. Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

The planning, implementation, and evaluation of the Single Plan for Student Achievement was completed with the involvement of parents, classroom teachers, administrators, and other school personnel. Grade level teams verified Implementation Plan goals from the previous school year and reached consensus about the yearly Implementation Plan goals. Teachers then discussed and agreed upon action steps that will help the staff and students reach the Implementation Plan goals.

Funding
18. Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Title I funds will support all teachers in providing the best first teaching in are areas of the curriculum with the assistance of

- ELA/Math Interventionist - supporting grades 3-6 (1 FTE)
- ELA/Math Interventionist - supporting grades K-2 (30\% FTE)
- Instructional Assistant/Computer Resources (5 hrs.)
- H.E.A.R.T.S. Afterschool Program
- Technology, including laptop computers, projectors, document cameras, and Brightlink technology
- Technology Materials and Supplies
- Accelerated Reader
- Materials and Supplies
- Professional Development
- Grade Level Planning Days
- Consultant Fees (County office for teacher staff development)
- Manipulatives and Consumables


## Description of Barriers and Related School Goals

A significant barrier to improvement in student achievement is the continued cutting of resources. Due to budget constraints, class size has increased and minimal funding is available for new programs to provide support in the school day for struggling students. Professional development is timely and costly, but necessary in order to keep teachers updated in the area of best instructional practices which support the needs of all students.

Another barrier is the sporadic and sometimes limited involvement by some parents due to home, family, culture, educational background and work considerations.

Barriers also include poor attendance, homelessness, and mobility of some students. Some parents struggle with transportation needs. Parents with limited English skills are sometimes less comfortable approaching the school with questions, in spite of outreach efforts.

## Success Indicators

Describe the current levels of performance and the school's target. Report as N/A if indicator does not apply to school level. Add other students groups, as reflected in the school's CDE dashboard, to the blank rows. Add additional rows as needed. Bullet 3-4 actions for each indicator that applies.

| Metric | Student Group | Baseline 17-18 | Target 18-19 | Actions |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Early Literacy <br> Percent at or above <br> benchmark DIBELS EOY <br> Composite | All Students | EL Students | $73 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
|  | Stud with Disabilities | $78 \%$ | * Targeted instruction using the cycle of <br> inquiry. <br> * Grade level training provided by RUSD <br> staff developer on how to analyze DIBELS <br> data and use that data to plan for <br> instruction. <br> * Small group phonics and phonemic <br> awareness instruction in grades K-2 using <br> Title I interventionist. |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  | $80 \%$ |


| Completion <br> \% Completion | EL Students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| College and Career Indicator <br> \% Prepared | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | EL Students |  |  |  |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| College Credit Course Enrollment <br> \% Enrolled | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | EL Students |  |  |  |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| UC/CSU Eligibility \% Eligible | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | EL Students |  |  |  |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| Graduation Rate \% Graduated | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | EL Students |  |  |  |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| Attendance <br> \% Positive Attendance | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | EL Students |  |  |  |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| Chronic Absenteeism \% Chronic Absentee | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | EL Students |  |  |  |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| Suspensions Dashboard rate, status, change, color | All Students |  |  |  |
|  | EL Students |  |  |  |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |  |
| Student Engagement | All Students |  |  |  |


| Grand mean all students <br> Gallup | EL Students |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Stud with Disabilities |  |  |
|  | Low Income |  |  |

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results (All Students)

## English Language Arts/Literacy

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 132 | 127 | 102 | 125 | 124 | 98 | 125 | 124 | 98 | 94.7 | 97.6 | 96.1 |
| Grade 4 | 103 | 143 | 118 | 101 | 136 | 116 | 101 | 136 | 116 | 98.1 | 95.1 | 98.3 |
| Grade 5 | 99 | 96 | 133 | 92 | 92 | 127 | 92 | 91 | 127 | 92.9 | 95.8 | 95.5 |
| Grade 6 | 93 | 96 | 97 | 90 | 93 | 95 | 90 | 93 | 94 | 96.8 | 96.9 | 97.9 |
| All Grades | 427 | 462 | 450 | 408 | 445 | 436 | 408 | 444 | 435 | 95.6 | 96.3 | 96.9 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 2375.7 | 2390.7 | 2426.7 | 8 | 15 | 26.53 | 18 | 17 | 22.45 | 27 | 24 | 25.51 | 47 | 44 | 25.51 |
| Grade 4 | 2403.8 | 2428.9 | 2444.9 | 12 | 11 | 21.55 | 16 | 18 | 20.69 | 11 | 28 | 18.10 | 61 | 43 | 39.66 |
| Grade 5 | 2479.4 | 2481.9 | 2469.4 | 9 | 14 | 7.09 | 33 | 22 | 25.20 | 27 | 34 | 33.07 | 32 | 30 | 34.65 |
| Grade 6 | 2491.0 | 2507.5 | 2504.4 | 6 | 12 | 5.32 | 26 | 27 | 30.85 | 34 | 33 | 38.30 | 34 | 28 | 25.53 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9 | 13 | 14.94 | 22 | 20 | 24.60 | 25 | 29 | 28.51 | 44 | 37 | 31.95 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 8 | 15 | 27.55 | 42 | 38 | 39.80 | 50 | 48 | 32.65 |
| Grade 4 | 6 | 13 | 19.83 | 35 | 44 | 44.83 | 59 | 43 | 35.34 |
| Grade 5 | 13 | 13 | 11.02 | 47 | 45 | 51.97 | 40 | 42 | 37.01 |
| Grade 6 | 11 | 9 | 8.60 | 37 | 43 | 54.84 | 52 | 48 | 36.56 |
| All Grades | 9 | 12 | 16.59 | 40 | 42 | 47.93 | 51 | 45 | 35.48 |


| Writing <br> Producing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 6 | 15 | 21.43 | 51 | 44 | 46.94 | 43 | 41 | 31.63 |
| Grade 4 | 8 | 7 | 17.24 | 38 | 51 | 50.00 | 54 | 42 | 32.76 |
| Grade 5 | 18 | 22 | 12.60 | 55 | 46 | 55.12 | 26 | 32 | 32.28 |
| Grade 6 | 17 | 20 | 13.83 | 48 | 48 | 54.26 | 36 | 31 | 31.91 |
| All Grades | 12 | 15 | 16.09 | 48 | 47 | 51.72 | 40 | 37 | 32.18 |


| Listening <br> Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 10 | 17 | 21.43 | 59 | 56 | 61.22 | 30 | 27 | 17.35 |
| Grade 4 | 13 | 10 | 12.93 | 50 | 68 | 53.45 | 37 | 21 | 33.62 |
| Grade 5 | 7 | 11 | 10.24 | 71 | 63 | 59.06 | 23 | 26 | 30.71 |
| Grade 6 | 3 | 12 | 5.32 | 77 | 73 | 76.60 | 20 | 15 | 18.09 |
| All Grades | 9 | 13 | 12.41 | 63 | 65 | 61.84 | 28 | 23 | 25.75 |

Research/Inquiry
Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 10 | 16 | 36.73 | 51 | 48 | 44.90 | 39 | 36 | 18.37 |
| Grade 4 | 12 | 17 | 24.14 | 42 | 56 | 52.59 | 47 | 27 | 23.28 |
| Grade 5 | 21 | 29 | 14.17 | 60 | 57 | 62.20 | 20 | 14 | 23.62 |
| Grade 6 | 18 | 26 | 21.51 | 58 | 55 | 60.22 | 24 | 19 | 18.28 |
| All Grades | 14 | 21 | 23.50 | 52 | 54 | 55.30 | 33 | 25 | 21.20 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The overall percentage of students in the \% Standard Exceeded and \% Standard Met has increased slightly, while the percent of students in the \% Nearly Met Standard and \% Not Met Standard has decreased.
2. In Research/Inquiry, all grades have the fewest percent of students in the \% Below Standard with $21 \%$ of students not meeting grade level standards in research and inquiry.
3. In Reading, all grades have the most students in the $\%$ Below Standard with $35 \%$ of students not meeting grade level reading.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results (All Students)

## Mathematics

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 132 | 127 | 102 | 125 | 126 | 102 | 125 | 125 | 102 | 94.7 | 99.2 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 103 | 143 | 118 | 101 | 140 | 118 | 101 | 140 | 118 | 98.1 | 97.9 | 100 |
| Grade 5 | 99 | 96 | 133 | 92 | 95 | 130 | 92 | 93 | 130 | 92.9 | 99 | 97.7 |
| Grade 6 | 93 | 96 | 97 | 90 | 96 | 95 | 90 | 96 | 95 | 96.8 | 100 | 97.9 |
| All Grades | 427 | 462 | 450 | 408 | 457 | 445 | 408 | 454 | 445 | 95.6 | 98.9 | 98.9 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 2402.0 | 2408.8 | 2436.0 | 13 | 14 | 21.57 | 22 | 26 | 32.35 | 24 | 22 | 24.51 | 41 | 38 | 21.57 |
| Grade 4 | 2434.7 | 2438.5 | 2448.8 | 8 | 6 | 11.02 | 16 | 19 | 22.88 | 39 | 42 | 35.59 | 38 | 32 | 30.51 |
| Grade 5 | 2471.2 | 2456.6 | 2454.7 | 8 | 2 | 4.62 | 17 | 16 | 7.69 | 26 | 27 | 32.31 | 49 | 55 | 55.38 |
| Grade 6 | 2488.5 | 2485.3 | 2479.3 | 6 | 10 | 7.37 | 16 | 19 | 14.74 | 42 | 27 | 37.89 | 37 | 44 | 40.00 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9 | 8 | 10.79 | 18 | 20 | 18.88 | 32 | 30 | 32.58 | 41 | 41 | 37.75 |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 28 | 25 | 38.24 | 32 | 30 | 34.31 | 40 | 45 | 27.45 |
| Grade 4 | 14 | 15 | 20.34 | 27 | 30 | 33.05 | 59 | 55 | 46.61 |
| Grade 5 | 14 | 8 | 5.38 | 30 | 26 | 31.54 | 55 | 67 | 63.08 |
| Grade 6 | 10 | 17 | 9.47 | 34 | 25 | 40.00 | 56 | 58 | 50.53 |
| All Grades | 17 | 17 | 17.75 | 31 | 28 | 34.38 | 52 | 55 | 47.87 |


| Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis <br> Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 15 | 14 | 23.53 | 37 | 42 | 54.90 | 48 | 45 | 21.57 |
| Grade 4 | 5 | 10 | 11.02 | 43 | 44 | 50.00 | 52 | 46 | 38.98 |
| Grade 5 | 10 | 5 | 5.38 | 41 | 35 | 39.23 | 49 | 59 | 55.38 |
| Grade 6 | 7 | 15 | 3.16 | 51 | 39 | 48.42 | 42 | 47 | 48.42 |
| All Grades | 10 | 11 | 10.56 | 42 | 41 | 47.64 | 48 | 48 | 41.80 |


| Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 3 | 18 | 23 | 23.53 | 53 | 53 | 52.94 | 29 | 24 | 23.53 |
| Grade 4 | 12 | 10 | 16.95 | 38 | 51 | 44.92 | 50 | 39 | 38.14 |
| Grade 5 | 9 | 3 | 5.38 | 51 | 48 | 39.23 | 40 | 48 | 55.38 |
| Grade 6 | 7 | 13 | 9.47 | 60 | 52 | 46.32 | 33 | 35 | 44.21 |
| All Grades | 12 | 13 | 13.48 | 50 | 51 | 45.39 | 38 | 36 | 41.12 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The overall percentage of students in the \% Standard Exceeded has increased slightly, while in the \% Standard Met and Nearly Met has the percent of students has stayed the same. Additionally the percent of students in the Standard Not Met has decreased.
2. Third and fourth grade have the lowest number of students in all strands in the \% Below Standards.
3. In grades 3rd-6th the area in which most students are in the \% Below Standard is in Concepts and Procedures.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

2017-18 Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Overall | Oral Language | Written Language | Number of <br> Students Tested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade K | 1442.3 | 1455.0 | 1412.3 | 28 |
| Grade 1 | 1414.4 | 1418.4 | 1409.8 | 31 |
| Grade 2 | 1467.8 | 1457.5 | 1477.8 | 19 |
| Grade 3 | 1464.9 | 1458.9 | 1470.4 | 17 |
| Grade 4 | 1477.5 | 1471.2 | 1483.2 | 19 |
| Grade 5 | 1471.8 | 1519.4 | 1576.4 | 20 |
| Grade 6 | 1529.5 |  |  | 23 |
| All Grades |  |  |  | 157 |

Overall Language
Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade K | 13 | 46.43 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 28 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 31 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 3 |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | 11 | 57.89 | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | 12 | 52.17 | * | * | * | * | 23 |
| All Grades | 44 | 28.03 | 55 | 35.03 | 31 | 19.75 | 27 | 17.20 | 157 |

Oral Language
Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade K | 14 | 50.00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 28 |
| Grade 1 | 11 | 35.48 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 31 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 |
| Grade 6 | 11 | 47.83 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 23 |
| All Grades | 63 | 40.13 | 50 | 31.85 | 19 | 12.10 | 25 | 15.92 | 157 |


| Written Language <br> Number and Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |  |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 28 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | 13 | 41.94 | * | * | 31 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 3 |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 23 |
| All Grades | 27 | 17.20 | 39 | 24.84 | 52 | 33.12 | 39 | 24.84 | 157 |


| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Speaking Domain <br> Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | 12 | 42.86 | 11 | 39.29 | * | * | 28 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | 16 | 51.61 | * | * | 31 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 |
| Grade 4 | 11 | 57.89 | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 5 | 12 | 60.00 | * | * | * | * | 20 |
| Grade 6 | 15 | 65.22 | * | * | * | * | 23 |
| All Grades | 75 | 47.77 | 56 | 35.67 | 26 | 16.56 | 157 |


| Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Writing Domain <br> Number and Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | 17 | 60.71 | * | * | * | * | 28 |
| Grade 1 | * | * | 18 | 58.06 | * | * | 31 |
| Grade 2 | * | * | 11 | 57.89 | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 |
| Grade 4 | * | * | 12 | 63.16 | * | * | 19 |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20 |
| Grade 6 | * | * | 17 | 73.91 | * | * | 23 |
| All Grades | 47 | 29.94 | 81 | 51.59 | 29 | 18.47 | 157 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School and Student Performance Data

## Equity Report

The Equity Report shows the performance levels for all students on the state indicators. It also shows the total number of student groups that received a performance level for each indicator and how many of those student groups are in the two lowest performance levels (Red/Orange). The total number of student groups may vary due to the number of grade levels included within each indicator.

| Fall 2018 Equity Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | All Students Performance | Total Student Groups | Student Groups in Red/Orange |  |  |  |
| Chronic Absenteeism | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |
| College/Career (9-12) | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

Performance Levels: Red (Lowest Performance) Orange Yellow Green Blue (Highest Performance)

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report

## Chronic Absenteeism

The status and change report provides the performance level for all students on state indicators. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2018 Chronic Absenteeism Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| Chronic Absenteeism | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


|  | 2016-17 Chronic Absenteeism by Subgroup |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Subgroup | Cumulative Enrollment | Chronic Absenteeism Count | Chronic Absenteeism Rates |
| African American | 152 | 23 | 15.1 |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Asian | 32 | 1 | 3.1 |
| Filipino | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 611 | 81 | 13.3 |
| Did not Report | 21 | 5 | 23.8 |
| Pacific Islander | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| Two or More Races | 12 | 2 | 16.7 |
| White | 105 | 11 | 10.5 |
| Male | 475 | 67 | 14.1 |
| Female | 473 | 59 | 12.5 |
| English Learners | 259 | 30 | 11.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 113 | 20 | 17.7 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 763 | 112 | 14.7 |
| Foster | 17 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Homeless | 32 | 9 | 28.1 |
| Kindergarten | 164 | 26 | 15.9 |
| Grades 1-3 | 383 | 53 | 13.8 |
| Grades 4-6 | 401 | 126 | 11.7 |
| Grades K-8 | 948 | 126 | 13.3 |
| Total | 948 |  | 13.3 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report

## English Learner Progress

This report shows the performance levels for a single state indicator, English Learner Progress Indicator, for all student groups. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2018 English Learner Progress Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |

This report shows the performance levels for English Learners on all state indicators. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2018 English Learner Progress Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Students Performance | Status | Change |  |
| Chronic Absenteeism | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

Performance Levels: Red (Lowest Performance) Orange Yellow Green Blue (Highest Performance)

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report

English Language Arts (ELA)
The status and change report provides the performance level for all students on state indicators. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2018 English Language Arts Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |

This report shows the performance levels for a single state indicator, English Language Arts Assessment, for all student groups. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2018 English Language Arts Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |

## Additional ELA Assessment Data for English Learners (EL)

The English learner student group definition for this indicator includes students who are currently English learners and students who were reclassified within the past four years. Data for both the English Learners and reclassified students are provided below for informational purposes. In addition, data for English Only students are provided for easy comparison.

| Fall 2018 EL Additional Assessment Data |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |

## ELA California Alternate Assessment (CAA) Data

The California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) are administered to eligible students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The 2017 CAA results for English language arts (ELA) [OR mathematics] are displayed below for informational purposes only. These results will be included in future calculations for the Academic Indicator. The graphs below display the percent of students who achieved Levels 1, 2, and 3.

|  | Fall 2018 ELA California Alternate Assessment (CAA) Assessment Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Number of Students | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

Performance Levels: Red (Lowest Performance) Orange Yellow Green Blue (Highest Performance)

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report <br> Mathematics (Math)

The status and change report provides the performance level for all students on state indicators. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2018 Mathematics Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |

This report shows the performance levels for a single state indicator, Math Assessment, for all student groups. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2018 Mathematics Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |

## Additional Math Assessment Data for English Learners

The English learner student group definition for this indicator includes students who are currently English learners and students who were reclassified within the past four years. Data for both the English Learners and reclassified students are provided below for informational purposes. In addition, data for English Only students are provided for easy comparison.

| Fall 2018 Math Additional Assessment Data for English Learners |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Number of Students | Status | Change |

## Math California Alternate Assessment (CAA) Data

The California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) are administered to eligible students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The 2017 CAA results for English language arts (ELA) [OR mathematics] are displayed below for informational purposes only. These results will be included in future calculations for the Academic Indicator. The graphs below display the percent of students who achieved Levels 1,2 , and 3 .

| Fall 2018 Math California Alternate Assessment (CAA) Assessment Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Number of Students | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |  |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

Performance Levels: Red (Lowest Performance) Orange Yellow Green Blue (Highest Performance)

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Group Report

This report shows the performance levels for all students and for each student group on the state indicators.

| Student Group Performance for State Indicator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Chronic | Suspension | English Learner | Graduation | English | Mathematic | College/Care |  |

All Students

## English Learners

## Foster Youth

## Homeless

Socioeconomically

## Disadvantaged

## Students with

Disabilities

## African American

## American Indian

## Asian

## Filipino

## Hispanic

## Pacific Islander

## Two or More Races

## White

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

Performance Levels: Red (Lowest Performance) Orange Yellow Green Blue (Highest Performance)

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. 

## School Goal \#1

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet student performance targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| Weekly progress monitoring during collaboration time. | July 2018-June 2019 | Principal | NA | None Specified |  |  |
| Bi-monthly sessions with District staff development team to review data and plan for instruction. | July 2018-June 2019 | Principal | NA | None Specified |  |  |
| Teacher release time for planning. | July 2018-June 2019 | Principal | Provide subs to allow for teacher collaboration time and planning. | 1000: 1130: Teacher Substitutes | LCFF | 5,000 |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 100\% Title I intervention teacher. | July 2018-June 2019 | Principal | J. Condron | 1000: 1100: Cert. <br> Teacher Salary | Title I | 137,704 |
| 30\% Intervention teacher | July 2018 - June 2019 | Principal | M. Berg | 1000: 1100: Cert. <br> Teacher Salary | LCFF | 25,362 |
| Intervention teacher will collaboratively work with staff in providing students with strategic reading intervention in grades kindergarten through sixth grade. | July 2018 - June 2019 | Principal | MB Gonzalez - District funded | 1000: 1100: Cert. <br> Teacher Salary |  |  |
| Technology support with on-line ELA program 5 hour IA computer resources | July 2018 - June 2019 | Principal | R. Clarke | 1000: 2100: <br> Instructional Assistant (4 hrs/more) | LCFF | 17,492 |

## School Goal \#2

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet student performance targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| We will provide substitutes to release teachers to work collaboratively with Staff Developers to disaggregate data and develop systematic lessons to increase the reading fluency of all students. Teachers will have instructional planning time, coaching and on site staff development by district staff developers | July 2018-June 2019 | Principal | See goal \#1 | 1000: 1130: Teacher Substitutes | CFF |  |


| Actions to be Taken <br> to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible |  | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intervention teacher will collaborate <br> with teachers to provide strategic <br> interventions in math in grade <br> kindergarten through sixth grade. | July 2018-June 2019 | J. Condron | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| Intervention teacher will <br> collaboratively work with staff in <br> providing students with strategic <br> reading intervention in grades <br> kindergarten through sixth grade. | July 2018-June 2019 | Megan Berg | 2140: 1900: <br> Instructional Coaches <br> Salary |  |  |

## Planned Improvements in Student Performance

## School Goal \#3

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet student performance targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

| SUBJECT: Cross Curricular |
| :--- |
| LEA/LCAP GOAL: |
| Goal \#1 Provide high quality teaching and learning environments for all students |
| SCHOOL GOAL \#3: |
| By June 2019, all students will achieve higher levels of evidence-based writing in all curricular areas as measured through grade level rubrics. |
| Data Used to Form this Goal: |
| District trimester writing assessments. |
| Findings from the Analysis of this Data: |
| District trimester writing assessments indicate that students are not meeting grade level proficiency in evidence based writing. |
| How the School will Evaluate the Progress of this Goal: |
| The progress will be monitored using grade-level rubrics in all content areas to analyze student writing samples. |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| Teachers will work collaboratively with Staff developers in the cycle of inquiry to plan, teach and analyze data. | July 2018-June 2019 | Natanya Johnson | See goal \#1 | 1000: 1130: Teacher Substitutes |  |  |
| Teachers will increase their pedagogical practices by attending on site workshops led by Staff developers. | July 2018-June 2019 | Natanya Johnson | See goal \#1 | 1000: 1130: Teacher Substitutes |  |  |

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

|  | Total Allocations by Funding Source |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Funding Source | Allocation |  | Balance (Allocations-Expenditures)


|  | Total Expenditures by Funding Source |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Funding Source | Total Expenditures |  |
| LCFF |  | $47,854.00$ |  |
| Title I | $137,704.00$ |  |  |

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan
Total Expenditures by Object Type

| Object Type | Total Expenditures |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1000: 1100: Cert. Teacher Salary | $163,066.00$ |
| 1000: 1130: Teacher Substitutes | $5,000.00$ |
| $1000:$ 2100: Instructional Assistant (4 hrs/more) | $17,492.00$ |

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan
Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

| Object Type | Funding Source | Total Expenditures |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1000: 1100: Cert. Teacher Salary | LCFF | $25,362.00$ |
| 1000: $1130:$ Teacher Substitutes | LCFF | $5,000.00$ |
| 1000: $2100:$ Instructional Assistant (4 | LCFF | $17,492.00$ |
| 1000: $1100:$ Cert. Teacher Salary | Title I | $137,704.00$ |

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan
Total Expenditures by Goal

| Goal Number | Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: |
| Goal 1 | $185,558.00$ |

Not Applicable

| School: <br> Program: | Emerson Elementary |  |  | Allocation: <br> Carryover: <br> Total: | \$122733.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LCFF (0215) |  |  |  | \$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$122,733.60 |
| Funtion | Object | Description | Amount Budgeted |  |  |
| Contract Salary Accounts |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 | 1100 | Cert. Teacher Salary | \$ |  |  |
| 2140 | 1900 | Instructional Coaches Salary | \$ |  |  |
| 1000 | 2100 | Instructional Assistant (4 hrs/more) | \$19,584 | 5 hour Instructiona Resources | ant Computer |
| 1000 | 2110 | Instr. Assist. (under 4hr./timecard) | \$ |  |  |
| 2700 | $\begin{gathered} 2400 / 241 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Office Assistant | \$ |  |  |
| 2495 | $\begin{gathered} 2900 / 291 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Community Assistant | \$ |  |  |
| 3110 | 5185 | Other: Consultant - Counselor | \$22,560 | Counselor - Increas wellness | nt engagement / |
|  |  | Other: | \$ |  |  |
| Timecard Accounts / Substitutes / Stipends |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 | 1120 | Teacher Extra Duty/Miscellaneous | \$ |  |  |
| 1000 | 1130 | Teacher Substitutes | \$20,000 | Teacher collaborati | nning, data analysis |
| 2700 | 1920 | CPS Extra Duty, Non-Teaching | \$ |  |  |
| 1000 | 2111 | Classified Tutor Hours, Instruct. | \$ |  |  |
| 1000 | 2120 | Instr. Assistant Peakload/Overtime | \$ |  |  |
| 2700 | 2420 | Clerical Peakload/Overtime | \$ |  |  |
|  | 2920 | Other Classified Hours | \$ |  |  |
| 2495 | 2920 | Other Class.Child Care/Interpreting | \$ |  |  |
|  |  | Other: | \$ |  |  |
| Fixed Charges / Employee Benefits: (Object Codes 3101-3802) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $3 x x x$ | Fixed Charges (Lump Category) | \$5,586 | See above |  |
| Other Discretionary Accounts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4200 | Other Books | \$ |  |  |
|  | 4300 | Supplies. Non-Technology | \$17,000 | Supplies |  |
|  | 4310 | Supplies, Technology/Software | \$5,000 | Digital resources |  |
|  | 4325 | Food, Grocery Stores | \$ |  |  |
|  | 4400 | Equip.,Other, New, \$500-\$9,999 | \$ |  |  |
|  | 4410 | Equip., Technology \$500-\$9,999 | \$ |  |  |
|  | 5210 | Mileage | \$ |  |  |
|  | 5220 | Conference Expenses | \$ |  |  |
|  | 5710 | District Fees (History Day, etc.) | \$ |  |  |
| 1036 | 5711 | District Busses (Field Trips) | \$8,189.6 | Science Camp |  |
| 8100 | 5715 | District Service Requests (M\&O) | \$ |  |  |
| The School Plan for Student Achievement |  |  | 34 of 39 |  | 11/13/18 |


| Funtion | Object | Description | Amount <br> Budgeted | Notes |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 5725 | District Publications | $\$$ |  |
|  | 5780 | Nutrition Services | $\$$ |  |
|  | 5800 | Contracts, Field Trip Admissions | $\$$ |  |
|  | 5815 | Consultants, Indep. Contractors | $\$$ |  |
|  | 5850 | Software Licenses | $\$$ |  |
| 2700 | 5731 | Postage | $\$$ |  |
|  | 5929 | Wireless Service (cell phone, other) | $\$$ |  |
|  |  | Other: | $\$$ |  |
|  |  | Other: | $\$$ |  |
|  |  |  | Total: | $\$ 97,919.60$ |

Not Applicable


| Funtion | Object | Description | Amount Budgeted | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5210 | Mileage | \$ |  |
|  | 5220 | Conference Expenses | \$ |  |
|  | 5710 | District Fees (History Day, etc.) | \$ |  |
| 1036 | 5711 | District Busses (Field Trips) | \$ |  |
| 8100 | 5715 | District Service Requests (M\&O) | \$ |  |
|  | 5725 | District Publications | \$ |  |
|  | 5780 | Nutrition Services | \$ |  |
|  | 5800 | Contracts, Field Trip Admissions | \$ |  |
|  | 5815 | Consultants, Indep. Contractors | \$ |  |
|  | 5850 | Software Licenses | \$ |  |
| 2700 | 5731 | Postage | \$ |  |
|  | 5929 | Wireless Service (cell phone, other) | \$ |  |
|  |  | Other: | \$ |  |
|  |  | Other: | \$ |  |
|  |  | Total: | \$141,480.36 |  |

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

| Name of Members | 可 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natanya Johnson | X |  |  |  |  |
| Danica Brisco |  | X |  |  |  |
| Margarita Rubio |  | X |  |  |  |
| Remie Rahman |  | X |  |  |  |
| Lisa Koss |  |  | X |  |  |
| Philip Brisk |  |  |  | X |  |
| Tekleselassie Yeheyies |  |  |  | X |  |
| Sarah Willis-Reynozo |  |  |  | X |  |
| Jennifer Grob |  |  |  | X |  |
| Tony Zamora |  |  |  | X |  |
| Numbers of members of each category: | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

| State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee | Signature |
| :--- | :--- |
| English Learner Advisory Committee | Signature |
| Special Education Advisory Committee | Signature |
| Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee | Signature |
| District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement | Signature |
| Compensatory Education Advisory Committee | Signature |
| Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary) | Sisnature |

4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Natanya Johnson
Typed Name of School Principal
Signature of School Principal
Date

Sarah Willis-Reynozo
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson
Signature of SSC Chairperson
Date

